PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 1 0 FEB 2005

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY OF

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference				
B06-262-01	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. PCT/IE2004/000007	International filing date (day/month/year) 22.01.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 22.01.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC G07F19/00				
Applicant VALISTA LIMITED et al.				
	liminary examination report, established by smitted to the applicant according to Article	y this International Preliminary Examining le 36.		
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
This report is also accompanied by	y ANNEXES, comprising:			
a. U sent to the applicant and to	the International Bureau) a total of sheet	ts, as follows:		
I S⊓eets of the description	on, claims and/or drawings which have been			
sheets which supersed beyond the disclosure i Supplemental Box.	e earlier sheets, but which this Authority con the international application as filed, as i	onsiders contain an amendment that goes ndicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the		
b. (sent to the International Bu	troops only a total of the state of	mber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a		
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
Box No. I Basis of the opini	on			
☐ Box No. II Priority				
_	nt of opinion with regard to novelty, invention			
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of in	vention	ve step and industrial applicability		
☐ Box No. V Reasoned statem applicability; citati	ent under Article 35(2) with regard to nove ions and explanations supporting such state	elty, inventive step or industrial		
Box No. VI Certain document	ts cited			
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in	the international application			
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observation	ons on the international application			
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion of	this report		
19.08.2004	09.02.2005			
Name and malling address of the international preliminary examining authority:	Authorized Officer			
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		2399-7625		

INTERNATIONAL PREMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY



International application No. PCT/IE2004/000007

_	Во	x No. I Basis of the repo			
file		, and a surface majority			
		This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:			
		international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))			
		□ publication of the interr	tional application (under Rule 12.4)		
		☐ international preliminar	examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)		
With regard to the elements* of the international app have been furnished to the receiving Office in respon report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this			the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which iving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this e not annexed to this report)</i> :		
	Des	scription, Pages			
	1-19	9	as originally filed		
	Clai	ims, Numbers			
	1-23	3	as originally filed		
	Drav	wings, Figures			
	1/9-9	9/9	as originally filed		
		a sequence listing and/or a	y related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3.		The amendments have res	ted in the cancellation of:		
		☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.			
		☐ the drawings, sheets/figs			
		☐ the sequence listing (sp☐ any table(s) related to se	cify):		
		arry table(s) related to s	quence listing (specify):		
This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).			hed as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below		
			ave been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the		
		☐ the description, pages			
	ļ	☐ the claims, Nos.☐ the drawings, sheets/figs			
		☐ the sequence listing (spe	eify):		
	l	any table(s) related to se	uence listing (specify):		
	* 3	If item 4 applies, so	ne or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."		

INTERNATIONAL PREMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY



International application No. PCT/IE2004/000007

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-19

No: Claims 20-23

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-23

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-23

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IE2004/000007

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 6 415 156 B1 D2: WO 02/46985 A2

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claim 20 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT, and the subject-matter of independent claims 1 and 18 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

1. Independent claim 1:

Document **D1** is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A method for cash payment for goods or services in a mobile commerce system:

- establishing a person/company's account (description: column 2 line 50-51) in a mobile commerce system, wherein said mobile commerce system includes a supplier's account (description: column 1 line 63-65);
- communicating a request for said goods or services from said person/company to a supplier using a communication device in said mobile commerce system (description: column 3 line 3-11);
- authenticating said person/company by said mobile commerce system (description: column 3 line 43-54);
- verifying said person/company's funds by said mobile commerce system (description: column 3 line 55-59);
- transferring value for said goods or services from said person/company's account to said supplier's account (description: column 4 line 1-8); and
- transferring access to said goods or services to said person/company (description: column 4 line 20-30).

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from the method disclosed in D1 in that the



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IE2004/000007

person/company is a distributor and that the value for said goods or services are paid from the end customer to the distributor. So the difference between the method disclosed in claim 1 and the method disclosed in D1 is that a middleman (the distributor) acts between the end customer and the supplier.

Please note, that from the point of view of the mobile communication system disclosed in the application and the technical parts defined by the application there is no difference between the role of a distributor and the role of a customer. Therefore, to interpose a middleman (distributor) or a network of middlemen (network of distributors) between the customer and the goods/service supplier does not solve a technical problem. Paying value from the customer to the distributor can be done without implying any technical considerations (e.g. transfer of cash).

The distinguishing features of claim 1 with regard to D1 do not solve any technical problem and do not imply technical considerations to implement them but only imply business considerations. Therefore, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 does not involve an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

2. Independent claim 18:

Document **D1** is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 18, and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A mobile commerce network comprising:

- a mobile communication network (description: column 1 line 3-5; figure 1);
- a supplier having mobile communication capability over said mobile communication network (description: column 2 line 5-20; figure 1);
- a supplier's account addressable over said mobile communication network (description: column 1 line 50-65; figure 1);
- at least one person/company having mobile communication capability over said mobile communication network (description: column 2 line 5-20; figure 1);
- a person/company's account addressable over said mobile communication network (description: column 1 line 50-65; figure 1);
- wherein said supplier authenticates said person/company, verifies funds in said person/company's account, and transfer value to a person/company in response to request received from said person/company over said mobile communication network (description: column 3 line 41 column 4 line 30).



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IE2004/000007

The subject-matter of claim 18 differs from the network disclosed in D1 in that the value is transferred to a third person (the end customer). So the difference between the network disclosed in claim 18 and the network disclosed in D1 is that a middleman (the distributor) acts between the end customer and the supplier.

Please note, that from the point of view of the mobile communication system disclosed in the application and the technical parts defined by the application there is no difference between the role of a distributor and the role of a customer. Therefore, to interpose a middleman (distributor) or a network of middlemen (network of distributors) between the customer and the goods/service supplier does not solve a technical problem. Transferring value in response to a request received from the middleman (the distributor) to a third person (the end customer) can be done without implying any further technical considerations comparative to the case of transferring value in response to a request of the middleman to the middleman.

The distinguishing features of claim 18 with regard to D1 do not solve any technical problem and do not imply technical considerations to implement them, but only imply business considerations. Therefore, the subject-matter of independent claim 18 does not involve an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

Independent claim 20:

Document **D1** is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 20, and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A cash based purchasing platform for creation of a mobile commerce network comprising:

- a mobile payments platform including a mobile stored value module (description: column 2 line 36-45; figure 1); and
- a service delivery platform configured for integration with said mobile payments platform (description: column 2 line 23-33; figure 1).

Therefore, the subject-matter of independent claim 20 is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact, that document D2 also discloses the subject-matter of independent claim 20 (description: page 9 line 19-22).

4. Dependent claims 2-17, 19, 21-23:



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IE2004/000007

Dependent claims 2-17, 19 and 21-23 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, see documents D1 and D2 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.

The additional technical features of the dependent claims 2-12, 19 and 21-23 are disclosed in document D1 (description: column 2 - column 3, figure 1) and in document D2 (description: page 12 line 8 - page 15 line 21; figure 2).

The additional features of dependent claims 13-17 cannot be considered to have a technical character since they have a pure economical character and they do not solve any technical problem.

Therefore, the additional features of dependent claims 2-12 and 19 in combination with the features of the claims they refer (see argumentation referring to claim 1 and claim 18) do not involve an inventive step (Article 33(2) PCT) and therefore, the additional features of dependent claims 21-23 in combination with the features of claim 20 (see argumentation to claim 20) are not new (Article 33(3) PCT).